

The N-terminal amino acid sequence of the small subunit of ribulose-1, 5-diphosphate carboxylase from *Nicotiana tabacum*.

step which enhanced the crystallization by first removing aggregated material and lower molecular weight proteins, and secondly shortening the time of crystallization.

The small subunit of ribulose-1,5-diphosphate carboxylase was prepared by reduction of 3 times crystallized native protein with 100 mM β -mercaptoethanol in the presence of 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 11.2 for 30 min and subsequent separation of the large and small subunits by gel filtration on a Sephadex G-100 column equilibrated in 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 11.2. Fractions containing the small subunit, which eluted with a K_{av} value of 0.38 (corresponding to a molecular weight of 25,000) were pooled and the buffer exchanged to 0.2 M ammonium carbonate (pH 8.9) by Sephadex G-25 chromatography. The small subunit was then lyophilized to a salt-free white hygroscopic powder. Samples (5 mg) of this material were either used directly for sequence determination, or S-carboxymethylated and then sequenced.

The N-terminal amino acid sequence of the small subunit was determined by automatic Edman degradation on a Beckman sequencer, Model 890C. The reagents, solvent systems and methods for identification of phenylthiohydantoin amino acids were as described by SCAWEN and BOULTER⁹.

Results and discussion. The N-terminal amino acid sequence of the first 21 residues of the small subunit of tobacco ribulose-1,5-diphosphate carboxylase is presented in the Figure. The methionine residue at the N-terminus was found in variable yields. When the N-terminal Met yield was low, Gln 2 was also released in cycle 1 and in subsequent cycles a phase-shifted minor amino acid was observed, i.e. cycle 2, Val + Gln; cycle 3, Trp + Val; cycle 4, Pro + Trp, etc. The variable yield of N-terminal Met may be due to partial removal of the amino acid during isolation and purification of the small subunit or due to an incomplete removal *in vivo* in a similar way as in prokaryotes. On present evidence, however, all plant proteins should start with Met¹⁰.

The polymorphism at residue 7, Tyr/Ile, was observed in all runs, and the two amino acids occurred in equal amounts. This Tyr/Ile pair was also found in cycle 6 under conditions where the yield of Met 1 was low. The polymorphism seen here, in the light of the wide variation in amino acid composition of the small subunit observed in *Nicotiana* spp.¹¹, may well be due to the fact that the small subunit is a rapidly evolving peptide.

The ability to determine the N-terminal sequence of the small subunit of ribulose-1,5-diphosphate carboxylase from tobacco by automatic Edman degradation is in itself noteworthy. Repeated attempts in the laboratory to determine the N-terminus by classical manual Edman degradation followed by dansylation, have been unsuccessful. This inability to determine the N-terminal amino acid of the small subunit of ribulose-1,5-diphosphate carboxylase has also been reported by MOON and THOMPSON¹² in the case of the spinach beet enzyme, and by IWANIJ et al.¹³ for *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* where the authors suggested that the N-terminus was blocked.

Summary. The N-terminal sequence of the small subunit of Fraction I protein isolated from tobacco was investigated, using an automatic protein sequencer. The amino acid sequence of the first 21 residues is presented¹⁴.

G. C. GIBBONS¹⁵, S. STRØBAEK¹⁶
B. HASLETT¹⁷ and D. BOULTER¹⁷

Carlsberg Research Department, Strandvejen 50,
DK-2900 Hellerup (Denmark),
Institute of Genetics, University of Copenhagen,
Øster Farimagsgade 2A,
DK-1353 Copenhagen K (Denmark), and
Department of Botany, University of Durham,
Durham City DH1 3LE (England), 26 May 1975.

⁹ M. D. SCAWEN and D. BOULTER, *Biochem. J.* **143**, 257 (1974).

¹⁰ A. YARWOOD, D. BOULTER and J. N. YARWOOD, *Biochem. biophys. Res. Commun.* **44**, 353 (1971).

¹¹ S. Y. KWOK, Ph. D. thesis, University of California, Los Angeles (1972).

¹² K. E. MOON and E. O. P. THOMPSON, *Aust. J. biol. Sci.* **24**, 755 (1971).

¹³ V. IWANIJ, N.-H. CHUA and P. SIEKEVITZ, *Biochim. biophys. Acta* **358**, 329 (1974).

¹⁴ Acknowledgments. The work was supported in part by grants from The Carlsberg Foundation and USPHS-NIH grant No. GM-10819. G.C.G. and S.S. thank Professors B. FOLTMANN and D. VON WETTSTEIN in whose laboratories the enzyme preparation was carried out.

¹⁵ Carlsberg Research Department, Strandvejen 50, DK-2900 Hellerup, Denmark.

¹⁶ Institute of Genetics, University of Copenhagen Øster Farimagsgade 2A, DK-1353 Copenhagen K., Denmark.

¹⁷ Department of Botany, University of Durham, Durham City DH1 3LE, U.K.

Die Schizogonie bei *Eimeria tenella* (Sporozoa, Coccidia)

Schizogonie in *Eimeria tenella* (Sporozoa, Coccidia)

Bei der klassischen Schizogonie der Sporozoen ist über den Ablauf der Kernteilungen und über die Bildung der Tochterzellen noch wenig bekannt¹⁻⁶.

In Untersuchungen am Hühnercoccid *Eimeria tenella* wird die Feinstruktur der zweiten und dritten Schizontengeneration in ihrer fortschreitenden Entwicklung dargestellt⁷; der Vorgang, der dieser Massenvermehrung zugrunde liegt, wird bei *Eimeria tenella* im elektronenmikroskopischen Bild belegt. Die Schizogonie beginnt hier mit der Umwandlung von Merozoiten in intrazelluläre einkernige Wachstumsstadien in der Blinddarmmucosa und -submucosa.

Zwei Entwicklungsschritte folgen bei der Schizogonie aufeinander: die Kernvermehrung und die anschließende Tochterzellbildung (=Merozoitenbildung). Bei jeder

Kernteilung bildet sich ein exzentrisch gelegener intranukleärer Spindelapparat, Centriolen sind den Spindelpolen im Cytoplasma vorgelagert. Vor einer Kernteilung teilt sich jeweils das zum Kern gehörige Dictyosom. Ähnlich wie bei *Eimeria necatrix*¹ und *Eimeria ninakholy-*

¹ J. F. DUBREMETZ, *Ultrastruct. Res.* **42**, 354 (1973).

² J. F. DUBREMETZ, *J. Protozool.* **22**, 71 (1975).

³ D. M. HAMMOND, W. L. ROBERTS, N. N. YOUSSEF and H. D. DANFORTH, *J. Parasit.* **59**, 581 (1973).

⁴ G. HELLER und E. SCHOLTYSECK, *Protistologica* **7**, 451 (1971).

⁵ E. S. SNIGIREVSKAYA, *Acta protozool.* **7**, 57 (1969).

⁶ B. CHOBOTAR, E. SCHOLTYSECK, J. SENAUD and J. V. ERNST, *Z. Parasitenk.* **45**, 291 (1975).

⁷ G. HOPPE, *Diss. Univ. Bonn* (1973).